

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,239	02/13/2001	Keishi Sugimoto	56937-024	8013
7590 11/29/2005			EXAMINER	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			LIOU, JONATHAN	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2663	
		DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/781,239	SUGIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan Liou	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/03	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/03/2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Application or the comments have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/781,239

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2, 6-7, 9, 13-15, 17, and 20-21 drawn to overwriting from a second transport stream to first transport stream, classified in class 370, subclass 389.
 - II. Claim3, 8,10-12, and 18 drawn to mutually insertion between the first and second transport streams, classified in class 370, subclass 396.
 - III. Claims 1, 4-5, 16, and 19, drawn to combination of packets insertion and overwriting, classified in class 370, subclass 395.3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because overwriting the packet from necessary PID from one TS to the unnecessary PID from the other TS does not required to perform mutually insertion between two TSs, which both have the necessary PID. In addition, invention I and invention II do not required to rewrite the packet to be different from one another and do not be necessary to perform selection of TS as combination invention. The subcombination has separate utility such as following:

Art Unit: 2663

In invention I, overwriting of packets is performed by writing the necessary packet identifier (PID) from one transport stream (TS) to unnecessary packet identifier of the other transport stream.

In invention II, mutually insertion of packets is performed by switching the necessary packet identifier between two transport streams.

In general, the overwriting or inserting the packet could be performed by switching the message without performing selecting stream requirement or rewritten TS.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as mutually insertion between two necessary PID steam. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, Group I is not required for Group III, and Group II is not required for Group III restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,239

Art Unit: 2663

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan Liou whose telephone number is 571-272-

8136. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Liou

11/23/2005

Page 4

RICKY Q. NGO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER